

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,389	VENOLIA, DANIEL SCOTT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffery A. Brier	2628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffery A. Brier. (3)_____.

(2) Andrew Cameron. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 1-31-08 and 2-1-08.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: The computer readable medium claims in general were discussed.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

-Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

On Thursday January 31, 2008 the computer readable medium claims with regard to the specification's failure to clearly refer to computer readable medium was discussed. The Examiner pointed to page 10 lines 7-10 of the specification which due to the words medium and media confuse the scope of the computer readable medium claims. The examiner proposed deleting "on a medium such as paper, film, or similar types of media".

On Friday February 1, 2008 Andrew Cameron authorized an Examiner's Amendment to the specification at page 10 lines 7-10 deleting "on a medium such as paper, film, or similar types of media".